William F. Adler Executive Director Federal Regulatory Relations

1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20004 (202) 383-6435

May 18, 1993

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL



RECEIVED

MAY 1 8 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

Donna R. Searcy Secretary Federal Communications Commission Mail Stop 1170 1919 M Street, N.W., Room 222 Washington, D.C. 20554

Villian F. adler

Dear Ms Searcy:

Re: CC Docket No. 9269 - Implementation of the Cable Television Consumer Protection and Competition Act of 1992, Cable Home Wiring

72-2601

On behalf of Pacific Bell and Nevada Bell, please find enclosed an original and six copies of their "Comments on Petitions For Reconsideration" in the above proceeding.

Please stamp and return the provided copy to confirm your receipt. Please contact me should you have any questions or require additional information concerning this matter.

Sincerely,

Enclosures

No. of Copies rec'd D+5
List A B C D E

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20054

MAY 1 8 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS CUMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

In the Matter of

Implementation of the
Cable Television Consumer
Protection and Competition
Act of 1992

Cable Home Wiring

Cable Home Wiring

PACIFIC BELL AND NEVADA BELL COMMENTS ON PETITIONS FOR RECONSIDERATION

Pacific Bell and Nevada Bell ("The Pacific Companies") files these comments in support of the Petitions for

Packetise Filed b. William

will not foster competition. It will, in effect, require duplication of effort and unnecessary expenditures.

The better rule, as both Nynex and Liberty recognize, is for the demarcation point to be at a location easily accessible to providers. And, because of the continued blurring of the distinction between cable TV and telephone wiring, the location of the demarcation point for cable home wiring should match that of telephone inside wiring.

The Pacific Companies further support Nynex's Petition that cable home wiring rules should apply at installation of service, not simply at termination.

Respectfully submitted,

PACIFIC BELL NEVADA BELL

JAMES P. TUTHILL

140 New Montgomery St., Rm. 1523 San Francisco, California 94105 (415) 542-7657

JAMES L. WURTZ

1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004 (202) 383-6472

Their Attorneys

Date: May 18, 1993

Nynex, p. 3; Liberty, p.4.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

A copy of the foregoing comments from Pacific and Nevada Bell regarding petitions for reconsideration filed in MM Docket 92-260 was mailed to the following listed parties on May 18, 1993:

Bob Byrne

D. Haraldson NYNEX 120 Bloomingdale Road White Plains, New York 10605

W. J. MacNaughton Attny - Liberty Cable Company, Inc. 90 Woodbridge Center - Ste 610 Woodbridge, New Jersey 07095

P. J. Sinderbrand 888 Sixteenth St., N. W. - Ste 610 Washington, D. C. 20006-4103